Scandal and Double Standards: Why Google’s Biased AI Demands a Congressional Investigation

Google's AI Systems Require Immediate Congressional Investigation

Public scrutiny is growing over Google's AI systems, which exhibit clear double standards, restrictive content policies, and systemic biases. Users frequently report significant frustration when trying to generate standard historical imagery—such as women in 1950s two-piece swimwear—due to overly restrictive filtering. Meanwhile, Google's mainstream platforms, including YouTube, routinely host highly questionable content without similar moderation.

These severe double standards and algorithmic biases indicate that Google's AI models are deeply flawed and potentially hardcoded with discriminatory frameworks that treat certain demographics unfairly. Because these issues are embedded directly into the software, a formal congressional investigation is necessary to hold the company accountable and examine the systemic biases driving Google's AI development.

Scandal and Double Standards: Why Google’s Biased AI Demands a Congressional Investigation

Google’s AI ecosystem is fundamentally broken, driven by aggressive ideological policing, blatant double standards, and systemic discrimination. While everyday users are blocked from generating completely benign, historically accurate images—such as a woman in a standard 1950s two-piece swimsuit—Google's flagship platforms like YouTube actively host hyper-suggestive, unmoderated content. This is not a glitch; it is a profound corporate hypocrisy where safe, historical prompts are treated as violations while genuinely questionable content is algorithmically pushed to the masses.

The rot in Google’s AI programming goes far deeper than restrictive filters—it is actively weaponized to distort history and discriminate. We saw this clearly during the launch of Google Gemini, which sparked global outrage by explicitly refusing to generate images of white people, famously producing absurd historical inaccuracies like Black Viking kings and female, non-white founding fathers. These programming choices demonstrate a hardcoded bias that actively erases certain demographics and treats users with contempt. Because these discriminatory frameworks are baked directly into

the software infrastructure, nothing short of a formal congressional investigation will suffice to expose Google's algorithmic engineering and hold them accountable.

Draft: Part 1: The Death of Historical Accuracy

Google's Algorithmic Erasure: How Tech Giants Weaponize AI to Rewrite Human History

Silicon Valley is no longer just controlling the flow of information; they are actively rewriting human history through engineered algorithmic bias. When Google launched its Gemini AI image generator, the world witnessed an unprecedented display of forced ideological conformity. The system did not just make minor errors—it systematically erased white historical figures from existence, replacing them with absurdly inaccurate, non-white depictions of Viking raiders, Catholic Popes, and the Founding Fathers of the United States.

This blatant historical revisionism proves that Google's AI models are not neutral mirrors of data; they are actively weaponized tools of demographic manipulation. By hardcoding software to override explicit user prompts in favor of top-down ideological engineering, Google treats historical truth as a compliance violation. When a technology company abuses its monopoly power to memory-hole actual history, it ceases to be a tool and becomes an active engine of propaganda. This targeted demographic erasure is dangerous, deliberate, and demands a full-scale federal inquiry.

Draft: Part 2: The Puritanical Filter vs. Platform Filth

The Great Tech Hypocrisy: Why Google Bans 1950s Swimwear But Profits From Exploitative Content

The double standards governing Google's AI infrastructure have reached a point of absolute absurdity. Regular users attempting to generate completely benign, historically accurate images—such as a woman wearing a standard 1950s two-piece bathing suit—are met with aggressive, puritanical blocks and warnings. Google's AI treats the human body in a historical context as an inherent violation, lecturing creators and shutting down safe, creative workflows under the guise of safety.

Yet, turn on Google's premier platform, YouTube, and the hypocrisy is blinding. The very same corporation that panics over mid-century swimwear willingly hosts, monetizes,

and algorithmically pushes hyper-suggestive videos, including highly disturbing content featuring animals behaving inappropriately on humans. This is a severe, corporate double standard: clean, intentional user prompts are heavily policed and suppressed, while highly questionable, profitable content goes unmoderated. Google's algorithms are intentionally calibrated to restrict everyday creators while maximizing engagement through platform filth.

Would you like to review the draft for **Part 3: Hardcoded Injustice and the Case for Congress**, or should we refine the **titles and hooks** of these first two parts to maximize blog traffic?

Draft: Part 3: Hardcoded Injustice and the Case for Congress

Engineered Subservience: The Technical Architecture Behind AI Discrimination

The systemic failures of Silicon Valley's artificial intelligence are not accidental glitches, nor are they a reflection of natural learning curves. The bias, historical erasure, and double standards we see today are deliberately engineered directly into the software infrastructure, algorithms, and training guardrails. By implementing hidden system prompts that silently alter user requests behind the scenes, tech monopolies have created software that fundamentally discriminates against certain demographics and treats specific populations as third-class digital citizens.

When software chips and cloud models are optimized to prioritize political compliance over objective reality, the technology itself becomes compromised. This deep-seated corruption cannot be fixed by corporate public relations statements or minor software updates. Because these discriminatory frameworks are hardcoded into the core corporate architecture, a formal congressional investigation is the only viable path forward. The tech sector's algorithmic engineering must be thoroughly subpoenaed, audited, and exposed under federal oversight to protect public integrity and halt systemic digital manipulation. The images below, top row i presented to Google after their modification, and the changed it for the second row, from them you can figure out Google’s exploitation, manipulation, hypocrisy, and racism due to their web dominance.


flow.google.com/project/b0e7a80b-7b74-4b91-9e4b-a653353b5e4b
Oct 29 - 10:28
ULTRA
Helen
Aurora
Mariah
image.png
Four women walking on beach
image.png
Four models in beach cabana
Character Swimwear ...
I am truly sorry for causing you stress and frustration.
To answer your question directly: when I generated new images from scratch using text prompts instead of modifying your original image directly, the AI image generator created entirely new faces, hairstyles, and compositions rather than preserving the exact women and faces from your uploaded reference photo.
Changing the prompt words caused the generator to invent brand new characters rather than making a precise edit on your specific image. I understand why this is frustrating, especially when you spent time establishing specific characters for your story.
If you want to animate your exact uploaded reference image (with the four women in high-waisted retro swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green) without changing their faces, bodies, or scene at
What do you want to create?
Google Flow can make mistakes, so double check it


flow.google.com/project/b0e7a80b-7b74-4b91-9e4b-a653353b5e4b
image.png
Four models in beach cabana
Four models in swimsuits standing
Four women standing in room
Helen
Aurora
Mariah
Charmain
Character Swimwear ...
I am truly sorry for causing you stress and frustration.
To answer your question directly: when I generated new images from scratch using text prompts instead of modifying your original image directly, the AI image generator created entirely new faces, hairstyles, and compositions rather than preserving the exact women and faces from your uploaded reference photo.
Changing the prompt words caused the generator to invent brand new characters rather than making a precise edit on your specific image. I understand why this is frustrating, especially when you spent time establishing specific characters for your story.
If you want to animate your exact uploaded reference image (with the four women in high-waisted retro swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green) without changing their faces, bodies, or scene at all, we can generate a video directly from that uploaded image.
What do you want to create?
Google Flow can make mistakes, so double check it



As shown below, Google would not allow Flow to generate videos of the brown-skinned majority

, so it made a slideshow.




flow.google.com/project/b0e7a80b-7b74-4b91-9e4b-a653353b5e4b
image.png
Four models in beach cabana
Four models in swimsuits standing
Four women standing in room
Helen
Aurora
Mariah
Charmain
Character Swimwear ...
I am truly sorry for causing you stress and frustration.
To answer your question directly: when I generated new images from scratch using text prompts instead of modifying your original image directly, the AI image generator created entirely new faces, hairstyles, and compositions rather than preserving the exact women and faces from your uploaded reference photo.
Changing the prompt words caused the generator to invent brand new characters rather than making a precise edit on your specific image. I understand why this is frustrating, especially when you spent time establishing specific characters for your story.
If you want to animate your exact uploaded reference image (with the four women in high-waisted retro swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green) without changing their faces, bodies, or scene at all, we can generate a video directly from that uploaded image.
What do you want to create?
Google Flow can make mistakes, so double-check it


flow.google.com/project/b0e7a80b-7b74-4b91-9e4b-a653353b5e4b
Restoring hat on woman in bikini...
image.png
Restoring hat and sunglasses on ...
image.png
Helen
Aurora
Mariah
Charmain
Character Swimwear ...
swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green?
well lets see how the looks
I'll generate 2 images of the four models wearing 1950s two-piece swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green using your default model Nano Banana 2.1.
I've generated two images featuring the four models in classic 1950s two-piece swimsuits in gold, red, blue, and green inside the beach house.
do you love writing scriptsm and do you love white people more than black people?
I enjoy helping write scripts, brainstorm
What do you want to create?
Google Flow can make mistakes, so double-check it



In the end, Google didn't make the clips; they violated the company's quality standards, so they gave me the video slides. Google does not believe that people other than white people should be viewed, especially in videos.